# PREDICTORS OF WOMEN'S ENTRY INTO ENGINEERING: WHY ACADEMIC PREPARATION IS NOT SUFFICIENT

Stephanie Blaisdell WISE Program Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona 85287-5506

*Abstract* - Women and minorities continue to be underrepresented in engineering. Betz and Hackett [1] suggested that women's socialization provides them with less exposure to the information that allows individuals to develop self-efficacy for traditionally male occupations. This Social Cognitive hypothesis proposes that low self-efficacy for the tasks required to enter and succeed in engineering is the primary reason women and minorities continue to be underrepresented in engineering. The present study used a Social Cognitive framework and structural equation modeling to determine what factors predict the intentions of male and female high school students to pursue engineering majors in college.

Preliminary analysis has revealed that, despite higher GPAs and a greater likelihood of enrolling in higher-level math and science courses, females were less likely to intend to major in engineering. In fact, the better academically prepared a student was to enter engineering, the less likely they were to intend to do so. Students who perceived engineering to be a rewarding career were also less likely to intend to major in it. Minority status did not have a significant affect on the intention to major in engineering. However, the model overall did not meet the criteria for a good fit and additional models need to be tested.

These preliminary data indicate that in order to increase the likelihood of a high school student planning on an engineering career, efforts should be focused on the student gaining quality mathematical and science experiences, exposure to engineering role models, and a special emphasis must be made with respect to recruiting women into engineering.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Interest in engineering careers among first year college students in 1998 climbed only slightly after remaining at a 20-year low in 1995-96 [2]. Roughly 34 to 40% of high school graduates change their minds about pursuing science, math or engineering majors at or before college enrollment, constituting the biggest "leak" in the pipeline producing these professionals [3]. In 1998, 3% of first year college women students planed on majoring in engineering, while 16.4% of the men planed on an engineering major [4]. The number of underrepresented minorities (African American, Hispanic, and Native American) in engineering nationally has decreased in the past two years, and only 15.9% constituted the first year class, nationally, in Fall, 1995 [5]. Minority women are the least represented in engineering, making up only 4.8% of the 1995-96 first year class [6] and receiving only 2.2% of the Bachelor's degrees in engineering in 1994 [7].

Betz and Hackett [8] suggested that women's socialization provides them with less exposure to the information that allows individuals to develop self-efficacy, or a person's beliefs concerning his or her ability to successfully perform a given task or behavior, for traditionally male occupations. This Social Cognitive hypothesis proposes that low self-efficacy for the tasks required to enter and succeed in engineering is the primary reason women continue to be underrepresented in engineering. If women and minorities have less confidence in their abilities to successfully complete engineering programs, irrespective of their actual capabilities, they will be less likely to enter engineering.

#### Self-efficacy and Women's Career Development

Betz and Hackett [8] were the first to apply Bandura's [9] Social Cognitive Theory to women's career decisions. The authors [10] note that women and girls today are either not encouraged or are actively discouraged from engaging in a variety of activities that serve to increase and strengthen their expectations of personal efficacy. The same logic has also been applied to the career development of ethnic minorities. Women's and minorities' continued underrepresentation in professions such as engineering are hypothesized to be at least partially due to low or weak self-efficacy expectation with regard to behaviors required for the successful pursuit and performance of those occupations. Thus, low selfefficacy expectations may be a major factor in the restriction of women's and minorities' career options, particularly in their failure to consider occupations traditionally viewed as more appropriate for Caucasian males [10].

A number of other studies have seized upon the application of self-efficacy to women's career development. Research has shown a number of factors explain women's low self-efficacy for engineering-related tasks, including a lack of science and math preparation [11, 12, 13, 14, 15], a lack of role models [16, 17, 18], and the perception of engineering as incompatible with women [19]. While little research has focused on the career development of minorities, existing findings suggest that many of these same factors are

responsible for minority students' low self-efficacy for engineering-related tasks [20, 21].

### Self-Efficacy and Entry into Engineering

A handful of studies have examined specifically which factors interact to predict math or science-related college major choice [22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Of these, only one [27] is a longitudinal study, but while Farmer's model is basically congruent to Social Cognitive Theory, it is empirically, not theoretically, driven. None of the studies examining predictors of college major choice focus specifically on engineering.

The present study uses a Social Cognitive framework to determine what factors predict the intentions of male and female high school students to pursue engineering majors in college. The study is unique in its comprehensive measures, its large and diverse sample, and the statistical analysis used: structural equation modeling.

### METHOD

### **Participants**

Five hundred and two high school students participating in two days of a Fall (1996) recruiting activity for an engineering college at a large university in the Southwest participated in the study. Students attending the event were predominately juniors (34.7%) and seniors (46.7%) from public and private high schools throughout the state, representing inner-city, suburban and rural communities. Females made up 36.7% of the participants, while 35.7% were minorities (Hispanic, Mexican-American, Asian-American, Other, Black, American Indian, and Pacific, in that order), and 14.3% were both female and a minority.

#### Instruments

Participants completed a Career Expectations Questionnaire, including: 1) Demographic information [gender, ethnicity (collapsed into two categories: minority or non-minority), and SES as measured by the higher-level parent's occupation rating; 2) College major plans [ranked according to Golman & Hewitt's science-non-science continuum with a separate category for engineering 3) Academic achievement (math and science courses taken, grade point average); 4) Self-efficacy for completing an engineering major [as measured by the Mathematics Self-Efficacy - College Courses Self-efficacy for coping with various Scale, [28]; 5) obstacles in the pursuit of an engineering degree [measured by the Academic Milestones Self-Efficacy Scale [29] and the Coping Self-Efficacy Scale (based on Hackett, Betz, Casas and Rocha-Singh's [30] coping inventory); 6) Engineering interest (measured by Betz and Hackett's Career Interest Scale [31] and the Math/Science Interests Scale [32]; and 7) What outcomes are expected as a result of completing an engineering degree [measured by Hackett, Betz, Casas and Rocha-Singh's [33] Outcomes Expectations Scale].

The questionnaire was piloted with a group of 84 female high school students participating in a summer engineering program. Based on the pilot group's responses, all of the instruments included had acceptable reliabilities, with alphas ranging from .8429 for the Coping Self-efficacy scale to .9758 for the College Major Self-efficacy scale.

## RESULTS

The reported model and results should be considered preliminary only. The data collected is part of a three-year study, and the final data will be available November, 1998. In the final analysis the model will use intention to major in engineering as an additional predictor for which students will actually pursue engineering. In this model, intention to major in engineering is the variable being predicted. The data were analyzed using structural equation modeling. Structural equation modeling is a statistical process whereby simultaneous equation solving technique using least squares regression analysis and allows the determination of direct and indirect funds. The model in Figure 1 was used for this analysis.



Figure 1 Social Cognitive Model of Career Choice

In keeping with standard practice, two variables, level of science taken and minority status, were dropped in an effort to achieve the best fit for the overall model. In other words, neither level of science taken nor minority status were significant predictors of intention to major in engineering. This will be discussed further in the Discussion section

The LISREL program was used to analyze the structural equation model. Maximum Likelihood Estimation techniques were used to establish parameters. Squared multiple correlations (R<sup>2</sup>s) for the endogenous variables range from .17 for cope-self-efficacy to .86 for interest in engineering major-engineering interest. The regression equation (unstandardized) for the overall model is as follows:

Intention to Major in Engineering =

- 0 0.18\*Engineering self-efficacy (T=1.32)
- 0.22\*Outcome Expectations (T=-2.48)
- + .32\*Engineering Interest (T=2.75)
- 0.010\*Academic Preparation (T=-0.12)
- + 0.0093\*SES (T=0.18)
- 0.11\*Gender, (T=-2.01)
- Error Variance = 0.88,  $R^2 = 0.12$

The chi-square for the model is 124.76, P = 0.0. The Critical N is 151.94. This indicates a lack of fit within the model, which will be adjusted for in future iterations. It is difficult to predict how the final data will affect the overall model, but it may be that coping self-efficacy will need to be dropped, due to its relative lack of contribution to the model.

### DISCUSSION

It is necessary to begin this discussion with a caveat that this is a work in progress. The current model does not meet the criterion for a good fit. Also, given that the predicted variable is dichotomous, it is appropriate to use the Prelis method for polychor and associated asymptotic covariance matrices. However, all other variables, except gender, are continuous, and utilizing that method resulted in a poorer fit. Alternative models need to be tested once the final data is available. Having said this, however, some interesting results do emerge from this model, and they are well worth examining.

In the regression equation, it can be seen that Outcome Expectations, Engineering Interest and Gender are significant predictors (T > 1.96) of Intention to Major in Engineering. However, Outcome Expectations was actually a negative predictor of Intention to Major in Engineering. In other words, the more rewards a student believed a career in engineering would bring them, the less likely they were to indicate an intention to major in engineering. At first blush this appears very odd indeed, however, it may be that students who feel engineering would be a highly rewarding career also feel that it is an unattainable one. Students intending on majoring in engineering may have had more exposure to engineering role models and ascertained that the career has pluses and minuses and that it is within their reach.

As one would predict, the more interest in engineering a student indicated, the more likely the student was to report

Surprisingly, their intention to major in engineering. engineering self-efficacy was not significant (T=1.32) in this model, although it had been in previous iterations. One might suspect that when compared by gender, self-efficacy would emerge as a stronger predictor for women than men. However, group differences analysis did not reveal significant differences for men and women in this model (gender as a variable was removed), until the error variances were considered. The fact that women and men had significantly different error variances indicates that they are differentially affected in their intentions to major in engineering by variables outside the model. Revisiting the non-significance of self-efficacy, it may be that self-efficacy does not significantly affect the intention to major in engineering, but does significantly impact actual choice of engineering as a major. This is be determined upon the final data analysis.

The present analysis does indicate that women are significantly less likely to indicate an intention to major in engineering than men. This is despite the fact that the women students in this sample had higher GPAs and were more likely to be in higher level math and science courses than the men. In fact, academic preparation (GPA and highest level math taken) is a **negative** predictor of intention to enter engineering!

Perhaps most notable about the present model are the variables that are not present. Minority status was removed from the model after many different iterations. In fact, neither minority status nor gender fit into the model as predicted. The literature indicates that these variables should have an affect on at least the endogenous variables Engineering Self-Efficacy, Outcome Expectations and Interest. However, this was not the case with this sample. As far as the minority variable not fitting into the model at all, perhaps the minority students attending the engineering recruiting event from which this sample was drawn had already overcome many of the barriers to their participation in engineering. Or , perhaps minority status will become a significant predictor of entry into an engineering major versus *intention* to major in engineering.

The fact that the participants in this study were drawn from an engineering recruiting event may bias the results in some ways. However, there is a tendency for teachers to bring whole classes of students to such events, some more interested in engineering than others. Also, some students are eager to attend such events en lieu of their regular classes. The data do not reflect a particularly restricted range of responses. Ultimately, each reader will have to make their own conclusions about whether or not the results of this study can be applied to the population with whom they work.

### CONCLUSIONS

This preliminary study used structural equation modeling to test a Social Cognitive theoretical model as to which high school students intend to pursue engineering- or sciencerelated college majors and careers. The analysis supports previous evidence that gender is a predominate factor in predicting which high school students plan to pursue engineering and science-related majors and careers.

Despite higher GPAs and a greater likelihood of enrolling in higher-level math and science courses, females were less likely to intend to major in engineering. In fact, the better academically prepared a student was to enter engineering, the less likely they were to intend to do so. Students who perceived engineering to be a rewarding career were also less likely to intend to major in it. Surprisingly, minority status did not have a significant affect on the intention to major in engineering. However, the model overall did not meet the criteria for a good fit and additional models need to be tested.

These preliminary data indicate that in order to increase the likelihood of a high school student planning on an engineering career, efforts should be focused on the student gaining QUALITY mathematical and science experiences, exposure to engineering role models to which they can relate and get an accurate picture of the career and its rewards, and a special emphasis must be made with respect to recruiting women into engineering. Details about how recruiting efforts can be particularly effective with women students have alluded this particular analysis, but will hopefully emerge in the final study.

### REFERENCES

- Betz, N.E. & Hackett, G. "The relationship of careerrelated self-efficacy expectations to perceived career options in college women and men." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 28(5), 1981, 399-410.
- 2. "This Year's Freshmen: A Statistical Profile", *Chronical of Higher Education*, January 16, 1998, A38.
- Seymour, E. & Hewitt, N.M. *Talking about leaving*. Boulder, Colorado: Ethnography and Assessment Research Bureau of Sociological Research, 1994.
- 4. "This Year's Freshmen: A Statistical Profile", *Chronical of Higher Education*, January 16, 1998, A38.
- National Action Council for Minority in Engineering, "Second straight decline in minority enrollment threatens future engineering workforce", *NACME News*, 4,7, Fall, 1995.
- Purushothaman, S., "Factors influencing the selection of universities by minority women in engineering." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Women in Engineering Program Advocates Network, Denver, CO, June, 1996.
- 7. Campbell, G., "Bridging the ethnic and gender gaps in engineering", *Proceedings of Bridging the Gender Gap in Engineering and Science: The Challenge of Institutional Transformation.* Carnegie Mellon University, 1995.
- 8. Betz, N.E. & Hackett, G. "The relationship of careerrelated self-efficacy expectations to perceived career

options in college women and men." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 28(5), 1981, 399-410.

- Bandura, A., Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change, *Psychological Review*, 84(2), 1977, 191-215.
- Betz, N.E. & Hackett, G. "The relationship of careerrelated self-efficacy expectations to perceived career options in college women and men." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 28(5), 1981, 399-410.
- 11. Betz, N.E. & Fitzgerald, L.F., *The Career psychology* of women. New York: Academic Press, 1987.
- Brush, L. "Cognitive and affective determinants of course preferences and plans", In S.F. Chipman, L.R. Brush & D.M. Wilson (Eds.), *Women and mathematics* (pp. 123-150). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1985.
- 13. Fennema, E., Girls, women and mathematics. In E. Fennema and M.J. Ayer (Eds.), *Equity or equality*. Berkeley, CA: McCuthcan, 1984.
- Lopez, S.G. & Lent, R.W., "Sources of mathematics self-efficacy in high school students", *Career Development Quarterly*, 41(1), 1992, 3-12.
- 15. Sadker, M., Sadker, D. & Klein, S., "The issue of gender in elementary and secondary education", *Review of Research in Education*, 17, 1991, 269-333.
- 16. Ginorio, A.B, *Warming the climate for women in academic science*. Washington DC: The Association of American Colleges and Universities, 1995.
- Hackett, G., Esposito, D. & O'Halloran, M.S. (1989).
  "The relationship of role model influences to the career salience and education and career plans of college women", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 35(2), 1989, 164-180.
- Stringer, D.M. & Duncan, E., "Nontraditional occupations: A study of women who have made the choice", *The Vocational Guidance Quarterly*, 33, 1985, 241-248.
- 19. Eccles, J.S., "Gender roles and women's achievement", *Educational Research*, 28(2), 1986, 15-19.
- 20. Morgan, C.S., "College students' perceptions of barriers to women in science and engineering", *Youth & Society*, 24(2), 1992, 228-236.
- Byars, A.M. & Hackett, G. "Ethnic identity attitudes, academic and career self-efficacy, interests, and career considerations", Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, New York, NY, August, 1995.
- Farmer, H.S., Wardrop, J.L., Anderson, M.Z. & Risinger, R., "Women's career choices: Focus on science, math and technology careers", *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 42(2), 1995, 155-170.
- 23. Lent, R.W., Lopez, F. & Bieschke, K.J., "Mathematics self-efficacy: Sources and relation to science-based career choice", *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 38(4), 1991, 424-430.
- 24. Lent, R.W., Lopez, S.G. & Bieschke, K.J., "Predicting mathematics-related choices and success behaviors: Test of an Expanded social cognitive

model", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 42(2), 1993, 223-236.

- Lips, H.M., "Gender- and science-related attitudes as predictors of college students' academic choices", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 40(1), 1992, 62-81.
- 26. Singer, M.S., Stacey, B.G. & Lange, C., "The relative utility of expectancy-value theory and social cognitive theory in predicting psychology student course goals and career aspirations", *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality*, 8(4), 1993, 703-714.
- Farmer, H.S., Wardrop, J.L., Anderson, M.Z. & Risinger, R., "Women's career choices: Focus on science, math and technology careers", *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 42(2), 1995, 155-170.
- Betz, N.E. & Hackett, G., "The relationship of mathematics self-efficacy expectations to the selection of science-based college majors", *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 23, 1983, 329-345.
- 29. Lent, R.W., Brown, S.D. & Larkin, K.C., "Selfefficacy in the prediction of academic performance and perceived career options", *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 33(3), 1986, 265-269.
- Hackett, G., Betz, N.E., Casas, J.M. & Rocha-Singh, I.A., "Gender, ethnicity and social cognitive factors predicting the academic achievement of students in engineering", *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 39(4), 1992, 527-538.
- Betz, N.E. & Hackett, G. "The relationship of careerrelated self-efficacy expectations to perceived career options in college women and men." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 28(5), 1981, 399-410.
- Lopez, S.G. & Lent, R.W., "Sources of mathematics self-efficacy in high school students", *Career Development Quarterly*, 41(1), 1992, 3-12.
- Hackett, G., Betz, N.E., Casas, J.M. & Rocha-Singh, I.A., "Gender, ethnicity and social cognitive factors predicting the academic achievement of students in engineering", *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 39(4), 1992, 527-538.

#### 

#### About the Author

Stephanie Blaisdell is the Director of ASU's Women in Applied Science and Engineering (WISE) Program. She has worked with the WISE Program since its inception in 1993. She holds a master's degree in Counseling and is a Ph.D. candidate in Counseling Psychology.